



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/895,871	06/29/2001	Lawrence Y. Fang	13615.21USU1	5372
20306	7590 06/12/2003			
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF			EXAMINER	
300 SOUTH SUITE 3200	300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE SUITE 3200		RAYMOND, RICHARD L	
CHICAGO, II	L 60606	·	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1624	10
			DATE MAILED: 06/12/2003	1.8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•						
Office Action Summany	09/895,871	FANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Richard L. Raymond	1624				
Period for Reply	ears on an oover shoot war are v	4.1.00				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	April 2003 .					
,-	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-76</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14 and 15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 16-76</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	r priority under do d.d.d. 3 1 10(-/ (-/ 5. (.).				
•	s have been received.					
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.13.15. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/895,871

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Election

1. Pursuant to the requirement for election of species, applicants have elected the compound of Example 6, and proposed a generic concept for examination. Claims 1-13 and 16-76 read on the elected species. Claims 14 and 15 (R_{N-1} is heteroaryl) do not read on the elected species.

Improper Markush Rejection

- Claims 1-13, 16-22, 24-37 and 39-76 are rejected as being improper Markush claims in the definition of the R_N and R_1 variables. So substituted, the resulting total compounds lack a common core and are structurally diverse and patentably distinct one from the others. A reference anticipating one under 35 USC 102 would not be a reference against the others under 35 USC 103. Diverse fields of search in subclasses in classes 514, 549, 552 and 564 and in STN/CAS are involved. Applicants in their election suggested a genus of compounds where R_N is (I) R_{N-1} - X_{N-1} where R_{N-1} is optionally substituted phenyl and X_N is -CO-, R_1 is (VI) optionally substituted benzyl and R_2 , R_3 and R_C are as in claim 1. Limitation of the claims to this genus will overcome the above rejection.
- 3. The claims have been searched and examined to the extent that they read on the above noted invention.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/895,871

Art Unit: 1624

Obviousness-type Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 5. Claims 1-13 and 16-76 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13, 15-25 and 145-221 of copending Application No. 09/896,139. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the compounds of the two applications differ merely in the stereochemistry around the CH(OH) group. No patentable significance is seen therein..
- 6. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1-13 and 16-76 are allowable over the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/895,871

Art Unit: 1624

Miscellaneous

8. It is requested that dates for the last reference on page 6/11 and the fourth and fourteenth references on page 9/11 of the IDS of October 22, 2001 be provided to complete the record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Raymond whose telephone number is (703) 308-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:30AM-8:00PM)).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund J. Shah can be reached on 305-4716. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Richard L. Raymo Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

m

June 11, 2003